

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/638,828 | FRIEDMAN, GEORGE | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mary J. Steelman | 2191 | |

All Participants:

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(2) David Rouille, Reg. No. 40,150.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:
1 & 11

 Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed amendment to specify that test code remains resident on a computer, executing tests across a network to an application under test.


Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3 PM


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)